

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.10267 of 2020
Date of Decision:16.11.2021
(Heard through VC)**

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed for issuance of directions to respondents for protecting life and liberty of the petitioner and for providing police protection/security to the petitioner who is complainant and eyewitness in murder case/FIR No.257 dated 25.11.2020 registered under Sections 302, 307, 148, 149 IPC read with Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar Rural.

Counsel for the petitioner would contend that petitioner is complainant in FIR No.257 dated 25.11.2020 registered under Sections 302, 307, 148, 149 IPC read with Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar Rural and is an eyewitness who is facing imminent danger and threat to life and liberty at the hands of the accused persons named in the FIR. Petitioner seeks protection to his life and liberty considering the fact that accused persons have fired gunshots at the petitioner

as a result of which he has sustained gunshot injury on his left shoulder.

Notice was issued to the respondents pursuant to which a reply filed by way of an affidavit of Harinder Mann, PPS, DSP on behalf of respondent-State, has been shared through Whatsapp group.

Counsel for the respondent-State placing reliance upon the said affidavit would submit that respondents are making every effort to arrest the accused persons and that a security person has been deployed in the vicinity of the petitioner's house to thwart any threat to him.

Counsel for the petitioner being satisfied with the assurance given by the respondent does not press the instant petition.

I have heard counsel for the parties and have also perused the reply filed in view of the categorical stand taken that all efforts are being made to arrest the accused persons and that a security person has already been deployed in the vicinity of the petitioner's residence to thwart any threat to him.

In view of the above, I dispose of the instant petition by directing the respondents to continue the said security till such time as the statement of the petitioner being an eyewitness is not recorded.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

November 16, 2021
P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No