

CWP-22053-2021

Date of Decision : 01.11.2021

Prateek Sura

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Joginder Siwach, Advocate for the petitioner.
*****B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)**

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has submitted representation Annexure P/15 dated 20.10.2021, in respect of the grievances which are the subject matter of the instant petition and that the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation Annexure P/15 dated 20.10.2021, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

3. Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and on perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition is *disposed of* by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in representation Annexure P/15 dated 20.10.2021, in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter as expeditiously as possible preferably within 03 weeks of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.11.2021

rajesh-renu

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No