

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.. 22148 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision:01.11.2021

Davender singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG., Haryana.

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

Prayer in this writ petition is for a direction to the respondents not to limit the period of appointment of the petitioners on the post of Instructors in Industrial Training Institute Sirsa, District Sirsa and they not be replaced with other contractual employees till appointment of regular selected candidates through proper recruitment.

It is submitted that the petitioners are working as Instructors at Industry Training Institute (ITI), at Adampur, Hisar. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that due to an inadvertent mistake, it is incorrectly mentioned that the petitioners are working on the post of instructors at ITI, Sirsa, District Sirsa in the head note and prayer clause of the petition, though correct facts are mentioned in para no.4 of the writ petition.

Petitioners were appointed on contractual basis after due verification after they were found eligible pursuant to advertisement dated 06.05.2016. Limited prayer addressed in this writ petition is that the petitioners be not replaced by other contractual employees. Petitioners, it is

submitted would lay no claim or stake, to the post, in case of appointment of regularly selected candidates. Learned counsel relies upon decision of this High Court in **CWP No. 23702 of 2019, decided on 28.11.2019, titled as Parveen Kumar and others Vs. State of Haryana and others.**

Notice of motion.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and on instructions from Mr. Devashish, ADA Skill Development Dept., submits that as of now, the petitioners are not being replaced with any other contractual employees.

Keeping in view the specific stand of the respondents, no orders are called for in this writ petition, at this stage. Needless to say, continued satisfactory work and conduct of the petitioners is a *sine qua non*.

Writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

01.11.2021

s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No.