

107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45721-2021

Date of decision : 01.11.2021

Rajni Rampal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. H.S. Brar, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Yuv Raj Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. in which learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner has prayed for two reliefs primarily. First relief is with respect to conduct fair and impartial investigation in a time bound manner in FIR No.225 dated 02.06.2021 registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Annexure P-1) at Police Station Baldev Nagar, District Ambala, Haryana.

With respect to the first relief, faced with the dictum of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court of India in case titled **Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. and others, reported as 2008(2) SCC 409** and in **M.**

Subramaniam and another Vs. S. Janaki and another, Criminal Appeal

No.102 of 2011, decided on 20.03.2020, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition qua first relief with liberty to pursue alternative remedies in accordance with law.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioner is 54 years old lady who is involved in a fight with several respondents and has further made averments in para 8 of the petition that respondent Nos.5 to 12 have hired antisocial elements to threaten and pressurize the petitioner to withdraw her cases.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would submit a representation before respondent No.3 with respect to protection of her life and liberty and she would be satisfied, if direction is given to respondent No.3 to consider the same and after seeing threat perceptions, take appropriate action in accordance with law.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 4 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case the petitioner files a representation before respondent No.3 with a limited prayer for only protection of her life and liberty, who after seeing the threat perceptions, take appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent No.3 to look into

the representation as and when moved by the petitioner with a limited prayer for only protection of her life and liberty and after making necessary enquiries, respondent No.3 shall take appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of one week from the date of filing the said representation.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioner and it would be open to respondent No.3 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to the representation with a limited prayer aforesaid.

In view of what has been observed above, the present petition is disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioner, if involved in any case.

01.11.2021

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No