

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206/2

**CRM-M No. 41494 of 2020
Date of decision: 28.01.2021**

Girdhari Lal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana
for respondent-State.

Mr. Gaurav Gaur, Advocate
for the complainant.

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The case is taken up through video conferencing on account of COVID-19.

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 340 dated 14.09.2020 under Sections 354-B, 323, 506, 509, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Kanina, District Mahendergarh and all other consequential proceedings arising out of the said FIR, as the matter stands amicably settled in terms of compromise dated 26.11.2020.

Notice of motion was issued. Vide order dated 10.12.2020, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate on 22.12.2020 for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

A report dated 22.12.2020 of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Kanina, has been received. As per the report, the parties had appeared before learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Kanina and got recorded their statements regarding compromise. Learned Magistrate has stated that he is of the considered view that the compromise between the parties is valid, genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

In view thereof, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 340 dated 14.09.2020 under Sections 354-B, 323, 506, 509, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Kanina, District Mahendergarh, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

28.01.2021
Rajeev (rvs)

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No