

**221-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40937-2020

Date of Decision: 12.01.2021

Sukhpal

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. G.P.S. Pathania, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard by video conferencing.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sarabjit Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation pursuant to the order dated 16.12.2020 and presently his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of above, the petition has actually been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

However, if the petitioners' custodial interrogation is required at any stage hereinafter, in the context of the FIR in question, he would be given 7 days notice before arrest, duly shown to be served upon them.

Naturally, if the complainant is aggrieved in any manner, of lack of proper investigation, he/she would be always at liberty to avail of his/her remedy as per law.

January 12, 2021

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes
No.