

114

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10318-2021

Date of Decision: 29.10.2021

Parveen Bala and another

.. Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. B.S. Aulakh, Advocate for
Mr. Arjinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

By means of this writ petition under Articles 226 Constitution of India, petitioners have prayed for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty, as they have solemnized marriage on 21.10.2021 against the wishes of private respondent Nos.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners are major, who fell in love with each other and decided to marry and when the love affair of the petitioners came to the knowledge of father of petitioner No.1 (respondent No.4), they opposed it, as they wanted to preform the marriage of petitioner No.1 with a boy of their own choice. Respondent No.5 (father of petitioner No.2) and respondent No.7 also opposed the marriage, as they wanted to perform marriage of petitioner 2 with Ramandeep Kaur (respondent No.6) and she is threatening petitioner No.2 that if he performs marriage with petitioner No.1, she would implicate him in some false case. As a result of that, the petitioners performed marriage on 21.10.2021 as per Sikh rites and ceremonies at Gurudwara

Singh Sabha, Village Kathgarh, Tehsil Jalalabad, District Fazilka. According to him, the petitioners are receiving threats from them, as they have solemnized marriage against their wishes. He submits that there is every likelihood that private respondent No.6 would implicate the petitioners in some false case by giving a complaint to the police. He has invited the attention of the Court to the representation dated 21.10.2021 (Annexure P-5) and contended that till date, no protection has been provided and further prays for issuance of necessary directions.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that the petitioners have solemnized marriage on 21.10.2021 at Gurudwara Singh Sabha, Village Kathgarh, Tehsil Jalalabad, District Fazilka and on the same day, they had sent representation (Annexure P-5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka through private courier service and filed this petition on 26.10.2021. In the petition, neither any specific threat or allegation has been attributed to any of the respondents nor any convincing material has been brought on record to substantiate the ground. Admittedly, the private respondents were opposing the alliance of the petitioners, but previously in this regard, no complaint was ever made to the police by the petitioners, against private respondents No.4 to 7.

The sequence of events pleaded by the petitioners do not seem to be probable, therefore, the prayer made by the learned counsel for issuance of directions to Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into their representation is also not worth acceptance, as their representation also lacks necessary particulars regarding manner and mode of alleged threat.

Resultantly, this Court is not inclined to exercise the extra

ordinary writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed.

29.10.2021
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes No
Yes No