

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-10254-2021
Date of Decision: 28.10.2021**

Ishrat and Another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present:- Mr.Ravi Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of a mandamus hence directing respondent No.2 and 3 to give protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, and, against any interference in the peaceful life of the petitioners being made at the behest of respondents No.4 and 5.

The learned State Counsel, does not have any objection, to an order being made by this Court to respondents concerned, to look into and decide through a speaking order, representation Annexure P-4.

Consequently, this Court directs respondents concerned, to within three weeks hereafter, hence decide Annexure P-4, through a speaking order.

Petition is disposed of.

A copy of this order be given *dasti* on payment of usual charges.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

October 28, 2021

Sunil Devi

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No