

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40814-2020

Date of decision:-18.2.2021

Kinfos Events Private Limited

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.A.S. Kler, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.J.S. Ghuman, DAG, Punjab.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner Kinfos Events Private Limited, through its Director Pratayksh Batta has brought the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., craving for issuance of directions to police authorities especially respondent No.4 – Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala to take legal action against private respondents No.6 and 7 i.e. Damanpreet Singh and Kamalpreet Kaur and get the case fairly investigated.

According to the petitioner, respondent No.6 being in employment of the petitioner/company had signed a contract with it

having a clause that while working for the company and even six months after resigning, he would not engage in any activity, which is in conflict with the company interest but in clear violation of that clause such Damanpreet Singh (respondent No.6) in connivance with his wife Kamalpreet Kaur (respondent No.7) had floated a company namely Thinking Events and started organizing his own events and international conferences after stealing the data of petitioner/company causing prejudice to the business interest of the petitioner/company.

Although a representation dated 1.9.2020 was submitted by the petitioner to Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala (respondent No.4), copy Annexure P-4 but no action has been taken by the local police in the matter so far.

Notice of motion to official respondents No.1 to 5.

Mr.J.S. Ghuman, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.4 – Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala to consider his representation Annexure P-4 and then take necessary action.

Learned State counsel submits that the respondents No.1 to 5 would abide by any such order passed by this Court.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent No.4– Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala to consider the representation Annexure P4 and to take necessary action in the matter, in accordance with law if it is so warranted by facts and

circumstances of the case.

18.2.2021
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No