

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-40644-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 23.08.2021

DEEPAK @ SUDAMA

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.250 dated 11.09.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 326 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Ram Nagar, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the incident is stated to have taken place at about 10.15 pm on 10.09.2020. The FIR was registered at 7.50 pm on 11.09.2020. The allegation is that the petitioner had given a 'gandasi' blow on the hand of the complainant. The complainant was brought to the hospital as per MLR at 1.40 hrs. on 11.09.2020 and, therefore, there is unexplained delay especially when the incident is alleged to have taken place in Karnal city.

Learned State counsel while referring to the reply states that the petitioner had caused a 'gandasi' blow on the hand of the complainant which had resulted in a fracture. He further contends that the petitioner is also involved in four other cases, the details of which have been mentioned in paragraph No.4 of the reply. The other co-accused have been arrested and released on regular bail.

Heard.

In view of the serious allegations against the petitioner having fractured the hand of the complainant with a 'gandasi' and the factum of the petitioner being involved in four other cases, I do not deem it appropriate to grant him the concession of anticipatory bail.

The petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

23.08.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No