

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
(133+237)

CRM-M-40529-2020(O&M)
Date of decision:-22.07.2021

Harjeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Arun Dogra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab for respondent No.1.

Mr. Tribhuvan Dahiya, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Brij Mohan Vashishtha, Advocate
for the applicant-respondent No.2.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to
Covid-19 pandemic.

CRM-20656-2021

For the reasons given in the application, it is allowed. The date of
hearing of the main petition is advanced to today and is ordered to be taken
up on Board.

CRM-15814-2021

Counsel representing the applicant-respondent No.2 does not
press the application.

Dismissed as not pressed.

CRM-14386-2021 and CRM-M-40529-2020

Learned Senior Counsel representing the wife-respondent No.2 submits that though a compromise was arrived at between the complainant-respondent No.2, who is the wife of the petitioner and statements of the parties were recorded before the trial Court in pursuance to order dated 04.12.2020 passed by this Court but the petitioner has violated the terms of the compromise and the settlement arrived at between the parties has failed. He has submitted that in such circumstances, the instant petition whereby FIR is being sought to be quashed on basis of the compromise, deserves to be dismissed.

Counsel representing the petitioner has refuted the submissions of respondent No.2 and submits that infact it is respondent No.2 who is at fault.

He, however, seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

Needless to mention, parties are at liberty to avail the remedies available to them in accordance with law.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

22.07.2021
Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No