

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-1290-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.07.2021

Paramjit Kaur @ Matto

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. H.S. Bhogal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H. S. Sitta, AAG Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Challenge in the present revision petition is to the order dated 27.08.2020 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur, whereby an application filed by the petitioner seeking concession of bail under Section 167(2) Cr.P.C., was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was arrested on 28.02.2020 and sent to judicial custody on the same day. The challan was presented after the expiry of 180 days and, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to the concession of bail under Section 167 (2) Cr.P.C.

He further contends that the application moved by the petitioner has wrongly been declined by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur.

Learned State counsel points out that as per the status report dated 23.02.2021, the petitioner was arrested on 28.02.2020 and was remanded to the judicial custody on the same day, the period of 180 days was going to be elapsed on 26.08.2020. Since the challan was presented on 26.08.2020 in the learned trial Court, therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for the grant of concession of default bail.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the paper-book.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner was arrested on 28.02.2020 and remanded to the judicial custody on the same day and the challan was presented within a stipulated period of 180 days. This Court does not find any merit in the present revision.

Dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

05.07.2021

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No