

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-41002-2020
Date of decision: 24.03.2021**

Reeta @ Sonia and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioners in FIR No. 15 dated 15.02.2020, registered under Sections 380, 448, 427 of the IPC at Police Station Division No. 1, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

The operative part of the order dated 08.12.2020, vide which the petitioners have been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“The lawyers are on strike today.

A perusal of the paperbook shows that the dispute is between the family regarding inheritance of the property of Ram Chander. It is stated in the petition that co-accused Meena has already been granted concession of anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 21.08.2020 passed in **CRM-M-13779-2020**.

Notice of motion for 24.03.2021.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, in pursuance to the order dated 08.12.2020, have already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Rakesh Kumar, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners, vide order dated 08.12.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

24.03.2021

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No