

226

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.40794 of 2020(O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.03.2021

Sanjeev Bhasin

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

[1]. Petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.358 dated 17.02.2020 registered under Section 174-A IPC at Police Station Ambala City, District Ambala and order dated 30.04.2018 vide which petitioner was declared as proclaimed person.

[2]. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, it is found that after declaring the petitioner as proclaimed person/offender, bail was granted by the Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala vide order dated 11.11.2020.

[3]. In the original complaint under Section 138 read with Section 141 of the Negotiable Instruments Act titled Hindustan

Enterprises Vs. Brepo Systems (India) Pvt. Ltd. and others, parties have entered into amicable settlement. Statement of Mr. C.P. Bakshi has been recorded to the effect that in view of compromise with the accused, the complainant party has received an amount of Rs.4.5 lakhs in the form of two demand drafts of the amount of Rs.2.25 lakhs in favour of Manju Bakshi and Ritu Kanwar respectively towards full and final settlement with regard to total claim of Rs.11,73,765/-.

[4]. As per statement, nothing is due against the accused persons. Complainant has shown no objection in case the order of proclaimed person/offender against the petitioner is quashed.

[5]. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the original complaint under Section 138 read with Section 141 of Negotiable Instruments Act has already been withdrawn vide order dated 03.03.2020 passed by JMJC, Ambala therefore, lodging of FIR No.358 dated 17.02.2020 registered under Section 174-A IPC at Police Station Ambala City, District Ambala becomes inconsequential in view of ratio laid down in **CRM-M No.43210 of 2014** titled **Microqual Techno Limited and others Vs. State of Haryana and another** and **CRM-M No.16999 of 2020** titled **Vishal Parashar Vs. State of Haryana and another.**

[6]. In view of aforesaid position, no useful purpose would

be served by allowing continuation of proceedings under Section 174-A IPC.

[7]. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, I find that though the subject matter of FIR under Section 174-A IPC is the State subject, but the said FIR has originated from basic dispute arising out of complaint under Section 138/141 of the NI Act which stands withdrawn on account of compromise, therefore, in order to achieve ends of justice, it would be just and appropriate to quash FIR No.358 dated 17.02.2020 registered under Section 174-A IPC at Police Station Ambala City, District Ambala along with all consequent proceedings (if any) undertaken in pursuance thereof.

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

03.03.2021

*Prince*

**Whether speaking/reasoned**  
**Whether reportable**

**Yes/No**  
**Yes/No**