

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.125

CWP No.22001 of 2021

Date of Decision : October 29, 2021

Jyoti

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rajiv Kumar Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner is that although there is a policy for grant of five grace marks, respondent No.3-University is not acting in accordance therewith even though a representation dated 13.10.2021 (Annexure P-7) has been submitted.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, issuance of notice of motion is dispensed with.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to The Vice-Chancellor, SGT University-respondent No.3 to decide the pending representation dated 13.10.2021 (Annexure P-7) by passing a speaking order within four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

October 29, 2021

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No