

103

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45028-2021

Date of Decision: 28.10.2021

Vineet Soni

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Kunal Muthreja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.PC for grant of Anticipatory Bail to the petitioner in FIR No.682 dated 30.06.2018(Annexure P-1), lodged under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC, and, registered at Police Station Sector-12, Faridabad, District Faridabad, wherein the bail-applicant, and, co-accused one Kajal Soni, are, named.

Both the afore accused are alleged to not liquidate the borrowings made by them for the relevant purpose to the lending institution concerned. Moreover, it is also alleged that the assets of the bail-applicant and his spouse are declared non-performing assets.

However, after declining of facility of anticipatory bail, to both co-accused namely Vineet Soni, and, one Kajal Soni on their application, preferred before the Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, the latter, subsequently granted bail to co-accused Kajal Soni, on her application filed

under Section 439 Cr.P.C.

Perusal of the orders (supra) discloses that the entire outstanding borrowings, as made by both the co-accused to the lending institute, hence stand liquidated to the latter.

Since, the entire borrowed liabilities, as made by both the accused, stand liquidated to the lending institution concerned. Therefore, *prima facie*, at this stage, it is deemed not appropriate to pass an order, for the custodial interrogation, of the bail-applicant.

Consequently, this court deems it fit to allow the application, and, direct the bail-applicant to furnish personal and surety bonds, in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, and also, with an undertaking, that as and when he is summoned by the investigating officer, through a written *Hukamnama*, he shall cooperate in the investigation, if any, as are required to be done, into the afore alleged offences, as, constituted in the FIR supra.

Petition stands disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

October 28, 2021
Sunil Devi

Whether speaking / reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No