

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-40669-2020

Date of decision : 22.01.2021

Amandeep and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Singh Jattan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.597 dated 02.10.2020, under Sections 323, 379, 365, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat, on the basis of compromise dated 28.10.2020 (Annexure P-2), which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR is an outcome of a misunderstanding between the parties which has now been resolved and the matter has been compromised. He has referred to a copy of the compromise at Annexure P-2. He also contends that it is alleged in the FIR by the complainant that his helper had been abducted by the accused although later, it transpired that the helper had gone willingly with the accused and had returned the same day without any harm.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

This Court vide order dated 07.12.2020 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements and send a report as to whether the compromise has been arrived at without any coercion or undue influence. The report of the Civil Judge (Jr. Division)-cum-Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Samalkha dated 18.01.2021 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that compromise which has been effected is genuine, voluntary and without undue influence.

The FIR is an outcome of a misunderstanding between the petitioners and respondents No.2 & 3 which has now been resolved and the matter has been compromised. In view of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab 2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.597 dated 02.10.2020, under Sections 323, 379, 365, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat and all consequential proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

January 22, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No