

101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.44946 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.11.2021

Sandeep Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Ms. Satpreet Grewal Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.P.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0103 dated 28.09.2020 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Sadar Banga, District S.B.S. Nagar.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that as per the allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of Kulwinder Kaur, against 04 persons namely Lakhvir Singh, his wife Daljit Kaur, his son Sandeep Singh (petitioner herein) and one Parvinder Kumar of cheating the complainant for Rs.30 lacs. It is further stated in the FIR that the father of the petitioner i.e. co-accused, claims himself to be the President of Panther Party as well as President of Rashtriya Majdur Congress INTUC, (SC Cell) and Press Secretary (Advisor) of Anti-Corruption Bureau, Punjab and he allured the complainant of providing

job in the Railway Department as well as the FCI.

Counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that the only allegation against the petitioner is that he has paid Rs.3.50 lacs to the complainant whereas the other amount has been paid by his father as well as his mother.

In reply, counsel for the State assisted by counsel for the complainant has argued that there are serious allegations against the petitioner of alluring the victim, who has parted ways Rs.30.00 lacs on a promise to procure a job in the Railway Department or FCI and in that process, the petitioner has handed over fake ID Cards and certain appointment letters to the victim, which were later on, on verification, were found to be fake.

Counsel for the complainant has further submitted that the entire family of the petitioner is indulged in this case and the complainant has arranged the money by borrowing the same from his relatives and friends, which were paid to the accused side.

After hearing the counsel for the parties, considering the serious allegations against the petitioner, I find no ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

12.11.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No