

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40526-2020

Date of decision-22.04.2021

PARMINDER SINGH @ PARMINDERBEER SINGH **...Petitioner**

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB **...Respondent**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Jasdeep Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.112 dated 14.07.2019 under Sections 307, 506, 341, 148 & 149 Indian Penal Code, 1860 & 25, 27 & 54 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Sadar Patti, District Tarn Taran, Punjab. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police, in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 06.01.2021, whereby, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the alleged occurrence, the petitioner also suffered gun shot injuries and a cross-case was recorded through general diary No.27 dated 15.07.2019. He has invited the attention of the Court to the medico-legal report of the petitioner (Annexure P-3).

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurdial Singh, does not dispute this fact that the

petitioner suffered the gun shot injuries and according to him, the cross version has been cancelled.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the statement of Kashmir Kaur, who is mother of one of the injured, who stated that no such occurrence took place on 14.07.2019. According to Mr. Gill, learned counsel, the statement has been recorded under pressure and petitioner is pursuing his remedies against cancellation of cross-case.

Adjourned to 22.04.2021.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Sukhvinder Singh does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 06.01.2021 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

22.04.2021

Vipin kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No