

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

**CRM-M-44419-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.10.2021**

Jagtar Singh @ Tari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Gurbir Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Prayer in the instant petitioner is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 149 dated 04.09.2021 under Sections 22 and 29 NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station City-1, Sangrur, District Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has no role to play in the recovery which has already been effected from co-accused Kaka Singh.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned State counsel pointed out that the recovery effected from the co-accused Kaka Singh is 2000 intoxicant tablets of Tramadol

Prolonged Tramwel SR-100, 750 intoxicant Tramadol Hydrochloride 100 mg. SR tablets Radol 100, 1200 intoxicant Alprazolam tablets IP 0.5 mg Alprasaft, total 3950 tablets.

It is further submitted that the petitioner is also involved in seven other cases out of which in four cases he has been acquitted, in one of the case he has been convicted and in two remaining criminal cases he is under trial.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The recovery effected from the co-accused Kaka Singh in the present case is in huge quantity and keeping in view the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail, therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

26.10.2021
Riya

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No