

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10063-2021

Date of decision : 30.11.2021

Himanshu and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr.Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.S.S.Dinarpur, Advocate
for respondents no.4 to 7.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

On 21.10.2021, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court was pleased
to pass the following order:-

*“The matter has been taken up through video
conferencing in the light of COVID-19 pandemic.*

*The present petition has been filed for issuance of
direction to respondent nos. 1 to 3 to protect the lives
and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private
respondent nos. 4 to 7 not to harass or interfere in the
peaceful live-in-relationship of the petitioners.*

*Petitioner no.2 is a married woman. She was
married to respondent no.6 as stated in the petition.
However, now learned counsel for the petitioners
submits that petitioner no.2 is married to respondent
no.7, Vikas.*

Notice of motion.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents no. 1 to 3 and seeks time to file status report.

List on 29.10.2021.”

Learned counsel for respondents no.4 to 7 have submitted that in the present case, FIR no.143 dated 25.10.2021 registered under Sections 363, 366, 376, 506, 346 IPC at Police Station Kunjpura, District Karnal, has been registered against petitioner no.1 and he has been arrested in the said case and has been in custody since 24.10.2021.

Learned State counsel has also submitted that FIR has already been registered.

None had appeared for the petitioner on the last date of hearing. Even today, none appears for the petitioner.

In view of the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is dismissed.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 30, 2021

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No