

136

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.10042 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.10.2021

Veena and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Virender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the premise that petitioner No.1 has performed marriage with petitioner No.2 against the wishes of her parents and other family members and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that a representation dated 18.10.2021 was made to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar (Annexure P5), seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter.

Heard.

Without examining the question of validity or otherwise of

marriage of the petitioners, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to look into grievance of the petitioners expressed in the representation (Annexure P5) and take appropriate action, if any, warranted in the circumstances.

The Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar – respondent No.2 is further directed to convene a meeting between the petitioners and the parents of petitioner No.1, if so required. It is further directed that petitioner No.1 will submit the complete address of her parents along with the mobile phone numbers to enable respondent No.2, to comply with the directions.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

21.10.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No