

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(226)

CRWP-10017-2021

Date of Decision:-27.10.2021.

Deepika and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurbir S. Dhillon, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a criminal writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the official respondent to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Puran, has pointed out that in the present case, prior to filing of the present petition, FIR No.0143 dated 08.10.2021 had been registered with respect to the taking away of petitioner No.1, since she was a minor at the said time. Learned State counsel has further pointed out that the private respondents have given a statement that they would not cause any harm to the petitioner.

The present petition is, thus, disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sonipat, Haryana to look into the representation dated 18.10.2021 (Annexure P-5) on the limited aspect of

CRWP-10017-2021

protection of life and liberty of the petitioners so that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

However, this order would not come in the way of the State to pursue the FIR which is stated to have been registered.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioners and it would be open to respondent No.2 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to the representation (Annexure P-5) with respect to the limited aforesaid aspect.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any other case.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

October 27, 2021.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No