

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.44975 of 2021**

Date of Decision: 02.11.2021

(Heard through VC)

Pramod Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)**

This is a petition that has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.619 dated 09.09.2021 registered under Sections 354-D, 506 IPC, Section 12 of the POCSO Act and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act at Police Station City Narnaul, District Mahendergarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the said matter. It is argued that the allegations as set out in the FIR are totally false . It is submitted that there are allegations that daughter of the complainant would travel on a scooty to her tuition and that she had been followed by a swift car for the past 4-5 days. It is further contended that one day complainant followed her daughter who was on a scooty and he too noticed that one swift car bearing No.RJ-18CD-3861 was tracing her daughter which was later on abandoned. It is further argued that no injuries have been caused to the daughter of the

complainant and neither the complainant nor her daughter have seen the petitioner. It is further submitted that the matter has been investigated and challan stands presented, therefore, petitioner would not be in a position to influence the investigation. It is stated that 19 witnesses have been summoned and therefore, trial will take some time to conclude, thus, prays for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Counsel for the respondent-State would oppose grant of regular bail to the petitioner by contending that the petitioner was chasing the minor girl as would be evident that complainant had seen the car of the petitioner which was later on abandoned by the petitioner himself.

I have heard counsel for the parties. In view of the fact that matter has been investigated and challan stands presented and the fact that trial will take some time to conclude as 19 witnesses have been summoned, however, none has been examined so far, therefore no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his execution of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of concerned trial court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression on merits of the case. In case, the petitioner tries to contact the complainant or her daughter, in any manner whatsoever or tries to influence the testimony, the State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application seeking cancellation of bail granted to the petitioner.

**November 02, 2021**

P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)**

**JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No