

**218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 27.09.2021

1. CRM-M-2983-2021

Davinder Singh @ Nikka ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

2. CRM-M-40634-2020

Manjinder Singh @ Prabh ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. D.S. Pheruman, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-2983-2021.

Mr.M.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-40634-2020.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Ms. Manveen Kahlon, Advocate
for the complainant.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.

2. These two petitions under Section 439 Cr.P.C. are filed by petitioners-Davinder Singh @ Nikka in CRM-M-2983-2021 and Manjinder Singh @ Prabh in CRM-M-40634-2020 seeking regular bail in FIR No.211, dated 16.09.2020, under Section 379-B(2)/120-B of IPC 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Patti, District Tarn Taran.

2. The brief facts of the case are that there are allegations that the

money was snatched from the complainant when he was going back to

home after withdrawing the amount from the bank. The role attributed the petitioners is that they used to pass over the information to their associates with regard to withdrawal of amount by the customers.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are in custody since September, 2020. Investigation is complete, challan stands presented. The name of the petitioners surfaced in a disclosure statement. He further submits that the complainant while appearing as a witness has not supported the case of the prosecution.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail. She relies upon the pleadings of the reply filed. She is not in a position to dispute the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. No recovery was made from the petitioners. They are not alleged to be the persons who snatched the amount. Their name came in the disclosure statement. The petitioners are granted bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

6. The petitions are allowed.

7. It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

27.09.2021

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No