

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207(A)

CRM-M-44330-2021

Decided on : 10.11.2021

Rawel Singh @ Ramel Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

(Through Video Conferencing)

PRESENT: Mr. S. K. Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A. K. Kaundal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Suresh Kumar Arya, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 162 dated
27.08.2021 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of Indian Penal
Code (Section 326 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station
Sujanpur, District Pathankot.

On 22.10.2021, this Court was pleased to pass the following
order:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter-alia, contends that
in the present case, compromise has been effected and the money,
which as per the complainant was due from the petitioner, has
already been paid by the petitioner to the complainant. It is further
submitted that no amount has been transferred in the account of the
petitioner.*

Notice of motion.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, learned DAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Mr. Suresh Kumar Arya, Advocate, has appeared and filed his power of attorney on behalf of respondent Nos.2 and 3. Same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 has submitted that in fact, in the present case, compromise has been effected between the parties.

Adjourned to 10.11.2021.

To be heard along with CRM-M-41157-2021.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and has reiterated the fact that in fact the matter has been compromised in the present case.

Learned State counsel, on instruction from ASI Yashpal, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required any further.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, moreso the argument that no amount has been transferred into the account of the petitioner and the dispute in question was infact, a monetary dispute and the matter has been compromised and the petitioner has joined the investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 22.10.2021 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

10th November,2021

Mehak

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No