

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 206(1)

CRM-M No.39757 of 2020

Date of decision : 29.10.2021

Veera

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Ms. Vinay Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. M. K. Bhatnagar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.106 dated 22.10.2018 registered
under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Raja Sansi, district
Amritsar.

On 01.12.2020, this Court had passed the following order : -

*“The case has been taken up for hearing through
video conferencing.*

*The petitioner has filed the present petition under
Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for
grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.106 dated
22.10.2018 registered under Sections 420 and 120-B of
the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Raja Sansi,
District Amritsar.*

Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted

that the petitioner, a woman aged about 50 years, has been falsely implicated in the case. The petitioner has no concern with the travel agency which has been run by his grandson Lucky and his friend Satish. The petitioner did not make any fraudulent assurance and did not receive any amount from the complainant. Co-accused Gurmeet @ Giti and Satish have been granted interim anticipatory bail vide orders dated 28.02.2020 and 06.03.2020 passed in CRM-M-8809-2020 and CRMM-9933-2020 respectively. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy of the petition, Mr. H.S. Multani, Asstt. AG, Punjab has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

Learned State Counsel seeks time to file reply.

Adjourned to 11.01.2021.

Notices to respondents No.2 and 3 be issued for that date and notices be also given dasti, if so desired.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so. In the event of her arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail by the arresting officer/investigating officer on furnishing of bail bonds by her to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/investigating officer. The petitioner shall comply with the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. failing which she shall not be entitled to the protection of interim bail allowed to her.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; cooperated with the investigating agency and that her custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

It is further submitted by learned counsel for the complainant that the petitioner and the complainant have compromised the matter.

After considering the above, the interim order of this Court dated 01.12.2020, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

29.10.2021

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No