

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

**CRM-M-39996 of 2020
Date of Decision: 24.02.2021**

Shyam Lal Goel and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Fateh Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Varunaaditya Gupta, Advocate, for
Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate,
for respondent no.6.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The replies on behalf of the respondent State and respondents no.2 and 3 were earlier filed, as noticed in the last order passed.

Today learned State counsel has filed replies on behalf of respondents no.4 and 5 by way of their affidavits, and on specific query by this court, he submits that in fact the Government has sanctioned that they be defended at State expense (by the office of the Advocate General, Haryana).

That being so, the aforesaid replies are ordered to be taken on record,

with a short reply also having been filed by counsel for respondent no.6, which is again ordered to be taken on record.

Learned State counsel refers to the reply of respondents no.4 and 5, to submit that other than a justification given (rightly or wrongly), for initiating proceedings against the petitioners, it has also been stated that the complaint against the petitioners has been filed away on 23.01.2021.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that they do not press this petition, which is therefore disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, at least at this stage.

February 24, 2021
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No