

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

121

**CRM-M-39819-2020 (O & M)
Date of Decision: March 12, 2021**

MUKESH CHAND AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Sukhwinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners have sought several directions to the official respondents including handing over investigation to independent agency, to arrest the accused and to file a final investigation report in case FIR No.65 dated 25.09.2020 under Sections 451 and 427 IPC, registered at Police Station Pojewal, District Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law of Vaishali. The son of the petitioners namely Lovekush and Vaishali had married against the wishes of the private respondents and they had tried to settle the matter but the private respondents, who are the parents and other relatives of Vaishali did not accept the marriage. He also contends that the respondents had ransacked the house of the petitioners for which the FIR has been lodged against unknown persons and the petitioners have firm belief that the private respondents are involved in the matter. The matter had come up for hearing before the Coordinate Bench of this Court on 12.02.2021 and learned counsel for the petitioners had sought an

adjournment on the ground that he wants to place on record some documents in support of his case. The order dated 12.02.2021 is reproduced hereunder:-

“The learned counsel for the petitioners seeks yet another adjournment on the ground that he wishes to place on record some more documents in order to support his case.

On his request, adjourned to 12.03.2021.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that he does not want to place on record any documents and wants to argue the case.

It is manifest from the petition and the material which is placed on record that the petitioners have not been able to substantiate the allegations with regard to the involvement of the private respondents. Therefore, directions as prayed for cannot be issued to the official respondents.

The petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

March 12, 2021
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No