

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-22443-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:06.12.2021**

Narender Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

Present:- Mr. P.C. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate for HSVP.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate, who has entered appearance on behalf of contesting respondents/HSVP informs the Court that the application dated 28.10.2013 that had been submitted by the petitioner seeking allotment of a plot under the oustee category in lieu of the acquired land, cannot now be considered in view of the subsequent developments and the policy decisions dated 11.08.2016 and 08.05.2018. He further submits that in case the petitioner applies afresh under the revised policy decisions, his application for allotment of a oustee category plot would be considered in accordance with law.

Insofar as the amount that had already been deposited by the petitioner along with application dated 28.10.2013, Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate submits that certain formalities/pre-requisites have to be complied with by the petitioner for such amount to be refunded along with interest.

These would be in the nature of cancelled cheque, account details etc.

He undertakes that information in this regard would be furnished to counsel for the petitioner within a period of 10 days from today.

Statement made by Mr. Majra, Advocate is accepted.

No further directions are required to be passed.

Petition is disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

06.12.2021

harjeet

i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No