

**213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44430-2021

Date of Decision: 24th November, 2021

Rahul Puri

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Luthra, Addl. A.G. Haryana
for the respondent-State.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 0116, dated 24th April, 2021, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 342, 379B, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Ram Nagar, District Karnal.

2. On 23rd April, 2021, on receiving an information from General Hospital, Karnal, after recording the statement of Surjit Singh-injured the police registered an FIR. As per the allegations, on 22nd April, 2021 an altercation took place between the complainant and Robil. Due to grudge of previous incident on 23rd April, 2021, complainant was attacked by the accused who were armed with Dandas, *Gandasi and Sword*. Complainant entered the house of Sonu, all the accused followed him, Robin raised *lalkara*, Rahul Chatri gave a knife blow on the upper portion of the leg, Kamal @ Landa gave a *Gandasi* blow on his head. It is alleged that Bharat Sukhija, Yogesh @ Daddu and Rahul Puri(Petitioner) armed with *Gandasi*, sword attacked on the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody since 30th April, 2021, investigation is complete, no weapon was recovered and no specific injury attributed to him.

Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail. She submits that petitioner was specifically named in the FIR and he actively participated in the incident.

The recovery from the petitioner is of a motorcycle, no specific injury attributed by the petitioner, investigation is complete and conclusion of the trial is likely to take time, petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

24th November, 2021

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No