

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44800 of 2021

DECIDED ON:1st November, 2021

Sukhwinder Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. K.S.Dhaliwal, Advocate for petitioner

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in case of FIR No. 232 dated 18.6.2021 under Section 15/18/25 (Section 61/85 and 27-A/29 added lateron) of NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Madhuban, Karnal, District Karnal.

Brief facts are that police acting on a secret information on 18.6.2021 checked truck bearing registration No. HR58A-9824. The truck was driven by Rajat and the occupants of truck were Kuldeep Singh and Gurmeet Singh. From the truck 128 kgs of Doda-post and 7 kg and 530 grams of Opium were recovered. On 18.6.2021 a disclosure statement was made by the accused that narcotic recovered were to be supplied to one Jassi. On 22.6.2021 another disclosure statement was made that narcotic was to be delivered to Sukhwinder Singh @ Jassi.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was named in the disclosure statement. He is in custody since 25.6.2021.

Investigation is complete.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Ramesh Chander opposes the prayer for grant of bail and submits that as per the role attributed, the petitionenr had to receive the opium and doda-post. She fairly submits that investigation is complete and challan has been presented and petitioner is not involved in any other case.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering that the name of petitioner surfaced in disclosure statement, no recovery was made from him, he has no criminal antecedents, investigation is complete and conclusion of trial is likely to take time, bail is granted to the petitioner on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

1st November, 2021

reema

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>