

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

116

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

CRM-M-44356-2021

DATE OF DECISION: OCTOBER 22, 2021

RANDEEP KAUR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Piyush Khanna, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.153, dated 02.12.2020 registered under Sections 21 and 29 of the NDPS Act at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR and rather she has been roped in because of the disclosure statement of his co-accused, namely, Kumari Neelam, who has alleged that the intoxicant substance, which was recovered from her weighing 150 grams, was purchased from the petitioner, Randeep Kaur. Counsel contends that there is no other offence against the petitioner, which would connect her with the said occurrence or that the petitioner was involved in any manner in the sale or purchase of the narcotic drugs.

Learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, has pointed out that apart from the disclosure statement of co-accused of the petitioner, where allegations have been made with regard to the factum that the

intoxicant material has been purchased from her, there are six other FIRs registered against the petitioner, out of which five are under the NDPS Act.

In the light of the above, it cannot be said that the petitioner is not involved in the commission of the above offence, especially when there are other FIRs also registered against the petitioner under the NDPS Act. No ground, therefore, is made out for grant of relief claimed in the present petition and, thus, the same stands dismissed.

October 22, 2021
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No