

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

**CRWP-9918 of 2020
Date of Decision: 25.01.2021**

Anju

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, A.A.G, Punjab.

Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocate,
for respondents no.2 and 3.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard by video conferencing

On December 01, 2020, the following order had been passed by this
court:-

“All the cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

By this petition, the petitioners seek protection of life and liberty at the hands of respondents no.2 and 3, upon the petitioner having left her matrimonial home against the wishes of the said respondents.

Notice of motion is issued, with Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, learned AAG, Punjab, accepting notice on behalf of the respondent State and the SSP, Patiala, on the asking of the court. Respondents

no.2 and 3 be served by way of normal process.

Adjourned to 18.12.2020.

In the meanwhile, since the allegation is that respondent no.3, i.e. husband of the petitioner and his father, are harassing the petitioner (as alleged), (though they are both seen to be living separately), the SSP, Patiala, is directed to ensure that the petitioner is not harassed by respondents no.2 and 3 in any manner whatsoever.”

In response thereto, an affidavit of the DSP, City-II, Patiala, has been filed, stating therein that the statement of the petitioner was recorded by the police on 17.12.2020, to the effect that she and her friend Karan, with whom she is living, do not apprehend any danger from anyone.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner no longer apprehends any danger to her life, with counsel appearing for respondents no.2 and 3 also submitting that they never threatened the petitioner.

Upon the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

January 25, 2021

dinesh/

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No