

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.135

**RSA No.1025 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision : October 27, 2021**

Sukhwinder Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Tarlochan Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. R.S. Longia, Advocate for the caveator-respondent.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The defendant is in second appeal. Plaintiff's suit for possession of part of property No.B-XVII-1379, Azad Nagar, Ludhiana shown as red in the site plan has been decreed. Defendant's appeal has been dismissed.

The only contention raised by learned counsel for the appellant is that the plaint does not identify the property of which possession has been sought. Thus, the decree would be inexecutable.

Assuming that is the case, the defendant-appellant is not adversely affected. Thus, the appeal has no merit and is dismissed.

CM-4606-C-2021

Since the main case stands dismissed, the present application CM No.4606-C-2021 is also dismissed.

October 27, 2021

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No