

Monu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARAPresent: Mr. Surender Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Through Video Conferencing**ANOOP CHITKARA, J.(ORAL)**

After arguing for considerable time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently he could not place on record the complete documents as filed under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C. and wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh one.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he may be given exemption from filing the typed and translated copy of all set of documents. Learned State counsel has no objection, if the petitioner is given such liberty.

Learned counsel further prays that due to the condition of the petitioner, it shall be very difficult to procure fresh power of attorney. Consequently, there shall be no need to file fresh power of attorney rather he may file the existing power of attorney along with the fresh petition. The Registry is directed not to insist for fresh power of attorney along with the fresh petition, in case need arises, power of attorney filed in this case shall

be taken as a part of fresh petition.

Consequently, the present petition is disposed of having been withdrawn with liberty to file fresh as aforesaid.

It is clarified that withdrawal of the present petition will not come in the way of filing a fresh petition.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

November 01 2021
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No