

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(109)

CRM-M-44801-2021.
Date of Decision:-29.10.2021.

Paramjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.K. Kaundal, DAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to look into the grievance of the petitioner and for further direction to respondent Nos.1 to 4 to complete the investigation in FIR No.158 dated 25.07.2021 under Sections 302 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station City Rajpura, Tehsil Rajpura, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has moved representation dated 29.09.2021 (Annexure P-2) to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, District Patiala, in which it has specifically been mentioned that FIR No.158 dated 25.07.2021 under Sections 302 and 120-B of IPC was registered at Police Station City Rajpura, Tehsil Rajpura, District Patiala, however, accused has not been arrested by the police.

CRM-M-44801-2021

Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that he would be satisfied, in case respondent No.3 is directed to look into the representation dated 29.09.2021 (Annexure P-2) and after considering the matter, take an appropriate action thereon, in accordance with law.

Learned State counsel has submitted that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to consider the representation (Annexure P-2) on the limited aspect of threat perception and take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the representation (Annexure P-2) and after assessing the matter, take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioner and it would be open to respondent No.3 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to the representation (Annexure P-2), moved by the petitioner with respect to the limited aforesaid aspect.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioner, if involved in any other case.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

October 29, 2021.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No

Yes/No