

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-40901-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision-12.01.2021**

Madhu Rani

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Abnash Singh Bakshi, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.1 and 2 to ensure that official respondent Nos.3 to 5 do not connive with private respondent Nos.6 to 7 in order to harass the petitioner by summoning her in frivolous complaints, filed by the private respondents, so as to put a pressure on the petitioner to withdraw the civil suits filed against private respondents.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner that multiple civil litigation between the parties is pending before the Civil Court. It is the case of the petitioner that upon a frivolous complaint given by respondent No.6, the official respondent Nos.3 to 5 are summoning her and according to the learned counsel, this conduct amounts to harassment and therefore, necessary directions be issued to the respondents as prayed for in the petition.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the nature of prayer made in the petition, this Court finds that the grievance

contained in the petition relates to the issue of service of defendants before the Civil Court who have illegally taken possession of the flat (suit property). In this regard, the parties are already before the Civil Court, resultantly, this Court does not find it to be a fit case to exercise inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

12.01.2021
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No