

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23995-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 26.11.2021

Garu Ram and another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Himanshu Rao, Advocate,
for Mr. S.S. Abhiraj, Advocate for the petitioners.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution has been filed for directing the respondent-authorities to appoint/adjust the petitioners against Class IV job.

Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioners, states that at this stage, instead of pressing the petition on merits, his clients would be satisfied, in case, representation dated 24.09.2019 (P-2), is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Akshita Chauhan, AAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off

with direction to respondent No.2-The Deputy Commissioner, Pathankot, to consider and decide the aforesaid representation dated 24.09.2019 (P-2), by passing speaking order in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

26.11.2021
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No