

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-:-

CRM-M-44072 of 2021(O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.11.2021

Santosh

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present: Mr. G.B.S.Dhillon, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG Punjab.
None for the complainant.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

Heard in physical mode.

This is the second petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.93 dated 27.10.2018 under Sections 302, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter referred to as, 'IPC') registered at Police Station Bahav Wala, District Fazilka. The earlier petition (CRM-M-3511-2020) filed by the petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn on 12.11.2020.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that no role has been attributed to the present petitioner in the FIR or by the eye-witness of the case i.e. PW-2 Pawan Kumar. In fact PW-2 Pawan Kumar has categorically stated that he only saw the accused - Pappu Ram - giving a beating to the deceased. Learned counsel would further contend that the petitioner has been in custody since 01.11.2018 and there are still 15 witnesses yet to be examined by the prosecution.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Saroop Singh, is not in a position to deny that PW-2, who has been examined and is stated to be the eye-witness, has categorically stated that he only saw the accused - Pappu Ram - giving a beating to the deceased, Ramesh Kumar, and the only allegation against the present petitioner is that she was standing next to the accused- Pappu Ram.

Heard.

The petitioner has been in custody since 01.11.2018 and there is no overt act which has been attributed to the present petitioner. The only allegation in the FIR is that she was standing along with the co-accused and even as per the statement of PW-2(eye witness), it has been stated that he only saw co-accused- Pappu Ram - giving a beating to the deceased. Without commenting on the merits of the case, I deem it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner. The petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate/Trial Court concerned.

However, the Prosecution will always be at liberty to apply for cancellation of bail in case the petitioner is found to be misusing the concession of bail in any manner.

It is also made clear that any observation made herein shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Disposed off.

November 17, 2021
tripti

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO