

118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-28555-2021 in/and
CRM-M-39323-2020
Date of Decision: 21.09.2021

Akshay

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Vikrant Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. V.K. Sheoran, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

CRM-28555-2021:

Prayer in this application is for preponement of the date of hearing
in the main case.

2. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the matter is taken
up today itself, for consideration.

3. Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M-39323-2020:

In this petition, the petitioner in case F.I.R No.0192, dated
16.08.2020, under Sections 341, 354-D & 506 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 12 of the POCSO Act (later on during investigation Section 427 of the

IPC and Section 67 of the IT Act were added), registered at Police Station Dadri City, District Charkhi Dadri (Annexure P-1), has prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant/respondent No.2 has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by her. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Charkhi Dadri, vide Report dated 10.02.2021, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondents No.2 and 3 are represented by their Counsel through Video Conferencing, who does not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Charkhi Dadri, and also the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "*Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another*", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.0192, dated 16.08.2020, under Sections 341, 354-D & 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 12 of the POCSO Act (later on during investigation Section 427 of the IPC and Section 67 of the IT Act were added), registered at Police Station Dadri City, District Charkhi Dadri (Annexure P-1), with all

**CRM-28555-2021 in/and
CRM-M-39323-2020**

-3-

consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioner.

21.09.2021

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No