

COCP No.2614 of 2020

Date of Decision : 02.03.2021

Komila Chopra

...Petitioner

Versus

Mohammad Tayyab

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr.Lupil Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J.

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of pendency of LPA No.1843 of 2019, he does not press the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of as such at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the contempt petition in the eventuality of an order passed being passed in LPA No.1843 of 2019 in favour of the petitioner.

2. The same is not opposed by the learned DAG, Punjab.

3. In view of the position as noted above, I am of the view that no action is required to be taken on the Contempt Petition at this stage. Accordingly, Rule is discharged and the contempt petition is ***disposed of*** as not pressed, with liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition in the eventuality of LPA No.1843 of 2019 being decided in favour of the petitioner.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge**March 02, 2021**

'Rajneesh/Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No