

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.39178 of 2020 (O&M)

Decided on: 20.09.2021

Jaswant Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Satwant Mehta, Advocate for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 137 dated 05.09.2019, registered under Sections 420, 506, 120-B of the IPC at Police Station Sirhali, District Tarn Taran.

The operative part of the order dated 26.11.2020, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioners, is reproduced as under:-

“....Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the dispute is primarily of civil nature and a Regular Second Appeal, bearing RSA No. 5379 of 2019, is pending before this Court, in which status quo has been ordered.

Notice of motion for 20.04.2021....”

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 26.11.2020, the petitioners have appeared before the Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation. It is also submitted that the petitioners have not misused the concession of bail

since 26.11.2020.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Kashmir Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioners are no more required for further investigation.

Counsel for the complainant has however, opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the petitioners have, in fact, cheated the complainant.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 26.11.2020 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

20.09.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No