

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-43894-2021
Date of decision: 25.10.2021**

Baljit Kaur alias Billi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Mandeep Kumar Dhot, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

(Through video conferencing)

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 153 dated 26.07.2020, registered under Sections 232, 234, 34, 120-B of the IPC (Sections 326, 148, 149 of the IPC added later on) at Police Station City 1, Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits as per allegations in the FIR, it is stated that when the complainant was sitting at a stage at Ramleela Ground, co-accused Mandeep Singh @ Doggar and Kuldeep Singh @ Bachee came there having *Dah*. Thereafter, Mandeep Singh @ Doggar gave two blows with said *Dah* which hit the back side of left arm of the complainant and co-accused Kuldeep Singh @ Bachee gave a *Dah* blow from its reverse side which hit on the left leg of the complainant. When the complainant raise noise, Mandeep Singh @ Doggar gave another *Dah* blow which hit on the head of the complainant invoking Section 326 IPC and the

complainant fell down. Thereafter, both the accused ran away from the spot along with their respective weapons.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the only allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner is having some family dispute with the complainant as the petitioner is the widow of the brother of the complainant and on account of the dispute with the brothers, the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that there is no direct allegation against the petitioner that she has caused any injury to the complainant.

Learned State counsel could not dispute that there is no direct allegation against the petitioner of causing any injury to the complainant and only Section 120-B IPC is invoked against her.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it will be open for the Investigating Officer to call upon the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing her a written notice in this regard.

25.10.2021

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No