

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 1284 of 2020

Date of Decision: 12.08.2021

Anuj

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present : Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing  
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court).

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

Vide this revision petition, petitioner (Anuj) has assailed order dated 30.10.2020 passed by Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, vide which he was declined bail as also the order dated 12.11.2020, passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, whereby even his appeal against the said order was dismissed.

Upon hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court, vide order dated 04.12.2020, had released the petitioner on interim bail:-

***“ This Revision Petition is directed against the  
Order passed by the Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna  
Nagar at Jagadhri on 12th November, 2020, vide which the***

*Petitioner's Appeal under Section 101 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 against the original order of the Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Jagadhri seeking Bail in case arising out of FIR No.252 dated 19th October, 2020, registered under Section 4 of the POCSO Act, 506, 34 IPC 3(2) V, SC/ST Act, was dismissed on 30th October, 2020.*

*2. Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the matter has been amicably compromised and therefore the Petitioner who is a Juvenile should not be detained any further.*

*3. Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate has put in appearance through Video Conferencing on behalf of the Complainant and undertakes to file his Power of Attorney on the next date of hearing.*

*4. It transpires that CRM-M No.40215 of 2020 praying for quashing of the FIR has been separately filed on behalf of the Petitioner, on which this Court has directed recording of statements of the concerned parties by the Ld. Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate and the date fixed for awaiting the Report from the concerned Ld. Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, is 01.04.2021.*

*5. In such circumstances, the Petitioner who is a Juvenile, at this stage, is permitted to be released on an interim bail to the satisfaction of the Juvenile Justice Board/Duty Magistrate concerned till the next date of hearing, i.e. 01.04.2021. ”*

Upon being pointedly asked, learned State counsel as also Mr. Ranjit Saini, learned counsel for the complainant, submit that the dispute between the parties stands amicably resolved. Consequently, even a petition, (CRM-M-40215 of 2020), for quashing the FIR and all consequential proceedings, on the basis of a compromise dated 30.10.2020 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties, has since been filed. Further, pursuant to the orders passed by this Court, even the statements of the parties have been recorded qua the execution and veracity of the alleged settlement/compromise, and the matter is now posted for 18.11.2021. Accordingly, it is submitted that they have no objection if the Revision is accepted and order dated 4.12.2020 (*ibid*), is made absolute.

In the wake of the above, the order dated 04.12.2020 is made absolute, and as a necessary consequence, the impugned orders dated 30.10.2020 and 12.11.2020 are set aside.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

(ARUN PALLI)  
JUDGE

12.08.2021

AK Sharma

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |