

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 106)

CRM-M No. 43509 of 2021

Date of decision : 25.10.2021

Mohammad Ranjha @ Ranjha

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Anureet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0267 dated 12.11.2020
registered under Sections 302, 201 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Dasuya,
district Hoshiarpur.

The petitioner had earlier approached this Court for the same
relief as claimed in the present petition which petition of his was dismissed
on 09.04.2021 through the following order :

*“The matter has been taken up through video
conferencing.*

*The arguments raised on behalf of the petitioner
having not received a favourable response from the Bench
and not to invite a decision on merits lest it may prejudice the
case of the petitioner, at a later stage, learned counsel for the
petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition.*

Permitted to do so.

Dismissed as withdrawn.”

Admittedly, there is no change in the circumstances after passing of the afore quoted order.

In the light of the above and because the petitioner is accused of murder and of having caused disappearance of evidence as also because investigation in the case is still going on, there is no ground made out for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

25.10.2021

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No