

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-38986-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 12.01.2021

SUKHWINDER SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Munish Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.367 dated 30.10.2020, under Sections 61, 1, 14 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914, registered at Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends the recovery of 30 boxes of country made liquor was effected from a room in the village which does not belong to the petitioner. He further contends that the petitioner is not involved in any other case under the Excise Act. He also contends that the petitioner namely Sukhwinder Singh is also known as Bittu.

This Court, by the order dated 27.11.2020, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Sanjay Kumar, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 27.11.2020 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

12.01.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No