

**217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-39343-2020
Date of Decision: 29.01.2021**

RAVI AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Surinder Gaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Lalit Chander Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 9.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.331, dated 10.09.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 186, 332, 353, 506, 333 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Gohana, District Sonapat (Annexure P-3), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Now the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused/petitioners as per Affidavit (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by complainant-Ashok Kumar. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Ld. Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gohana, vide report dated 13.01.2021 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is

genuine and without any pressure.

3. Affidavit, Annexure P-3, is though signed by the complainant-Ashok Kumar only, and not others but they have appeared before Court below and made their statements in support of compromise. Further, respondents No. 2 to 9 are represented today by their counsel who also does not dispute the factum of compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Ld. Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Gohana, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.331, dated 10.09.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 186, 332, 353, 506, 333 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Gohana, District Sonipat (Annexure P-3), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the petitioners.

29.01.2021

Ali

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No