

Vishal Kant

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARAPresent: Mr. Kulwinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions submits that in the interregnum the threat perception no more survives.

Learned State counsel has filed reply, which is taken on record. In the reply, it has been corroborated that no more threat perception survives.

Given above, learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh in case threat perception arises.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed as having been withdrawn with liberty to file fresh as aforesaid.

It is clarified that withdrawal of the present petition will not come in the way of filing a fresh petition.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE****December 06, 2021**
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No