

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(127)

CRM No. M-43323 of 2021
Date of Decision : 13.10.2021

Ishwar Devi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shekhar Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
(keeping in view advance copy given)

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in the present case, petitioners are not pressing for the grant of anticipatory bail but the prayer of the petitioners is that as they intend to surrender, the bail application to be filed by them be decided at the earliest. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have already compromised their dispute and on the basis of the said compromise, a quashing petition has been filed before this Court in which, statements of the parties have already been recorded and, therefore, keeping in view the said fact, petitioners pray that the bail application to be filed by them be decided at the earliest, especially, in view of the fact that petitioner No. 1, is a 70 years old lady.

Though, no direction is needed from this Court for deciding the

bail application filed by an accused expeditiously as the Judicial Officers are deciding those applications as expeditiously as possible but keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case, wherein, parties have already compromised and the petitioners are required to surrender back as the default bail granted to them has been cancelled, the trial Court is requested, that in case any bail application is filed by the petitioners, the same be decided in accordance with law preferably within three days of the receipt of the same, if feasible.

Disposed of in above terms.

October 13, 2021
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No